MPLS Working Group Internet Draft Intended status: Standards Track

Huub van Helvoort, Ed. Huawei Technologies Jeong-dong Ryoo, Ed. ETRI Haiyan Zhang Huawei Technologies Feng Huang Alcatel-Lucent Shanghai Bell Han Li China Mobile Alessandro D'Alessandro Telecom Italia

Expires: January 13, 2012

July 13, 2011

Linear Protection Switching in MPLS-TP draft-zulr-mpls-tp-linear-protection-switching-03.txt

Abstract

This document specifies a linear protection switching mechanism for MPLS-TP. This mechanism supports 1+1 unidirectional/bidirectional protection switching and 1:1 bidirectional protection switching. It is purely supported by MPLS-TP data plane, and can work without any control plane.

This document is a product of a joint Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) / International Telecommunications Union Telecommunications Standardization Sector (ITU-T) effort to include an MPLS Transport Profile within the IETF MPLS and PWE3 architectures to support the capabilities and functionalities of a packet transport network as defined by the ITU-T.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted to IETF in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any

Zhang, et al. Expires January 13, 2012

[Page 1]

Internet-Draft Linear Protection Switching in MPLS-TP July 2011

time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress".

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html.

This Internet-Draft will expire on January 13, 2012.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2011 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction
2.	Linear protection switching overview5
	2.1. Protection Architecture Types5
	2.2. Protection Switching Types
	2.3. Protection Operation Types
3.	Protection switching trigger conditions7
	3.1. Fault Conditions
	3.2. External commands7
4.	Protection Switching Schemes
	4.1. 1+1 unidirectional protection switching
	4.2. 1+1 bidirectional protection switching
	4.3. 1:1 bidirectional protection switching10
5.	APS Protocol
	5.1. APS PDU Format
	5.2. APS transmission
	5.3. Hold-off timer
6.	Protection switching logic16
7.	Protection Switching State Transition Table
	7.1. State transition for 1:1 bidirectional switching with
	revertive mode
	7.2. State transition for 1:1 bidirectional switching with non-
	revertive mode
	7.3. State transition for 1+1 bidirectional switching with
	revertive mode
	7.4. State transition for 1+1 bidirectional switching with non-
	revertive mode
	7.5. State transition for 1+1 unidirectional switching with
	revertive mode
	7.6. State transition for 1+1 unidirectional switching with non-
	revertive mode
8.	Security Considerations
9.	IANA Considerations
	. Acknowledgments
API	PENDIX A: Operation Examples of APS Protocol
	. References
	11.1. Normative References
	11.2. Informative References46

Zhang, et al. Expires January 13, 2012 [Page 3]

Internet-Draft Linear Protection Switching in MPLS-TP July 2011

1. Introduction

MPLS-TP is defined as transport profile of MPLS technology to fulfill the deployment in transport network. A typical feature of transport network is that it can provide fast protection switching for end-toend or segments. The protection switching time is generally required to be less than 50ms according to the strictest requirement of services such as voice, private line, etc.

The goal of linear protection switching mechanism is to satisfy the requirement of fast protection switching for MPLS-TP network. Linear protection switching means that, for one or more working transport entities, there is one protection transport entity, which is disjoint from any of working transport entities, ready for taking over the service transmission when a working transport entity failed.

This document specifies 1+1 unidirectional protection switching mechanism for unidirectional transport entity (either point-to-point or point-to-multipoint) as well as bidirectional point-to-point transport entity, and 1+1/1:1 bidirectional protection switching mechanism for point-to-point bidirectional transport entity. Since bidirectional protection switching needs the coordination of the two endpoints of the transport entity, this document also specifies APS (Automatic Protection Switching) protocol details which is used for this purpose.

The linear protection mechanism described in this document is applicable to both LSPs and PWs.

The APS protocol specified in this document is based on the same principles and behavior of the APS protocol designed for SONET/SDH networks (i.e., it is mature and proven) and provides commonality with the established operation models utilized in other transport network technologies (e.g., SDH/SONET and OTN).

It is also worth noting that multi-vendor implementations of the APS protocol described in this document already exist.

This document is a product of a joint Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) / International Telecommunications Union Telecommunications Standardization Sector (ITU-T) effort to include an MPLS Transport Profile within the IETF MPLS and PWE3 architectures to support the capabilities and functionalities of a packet transport network as defined by the ITU-T.

Zhang, et al. Expires January 13, 2012

2. Linear protection switching overview

To guarantee the protection switching time, for a working transport entity, its protection transport entity is always pre-configured before the failure occurs. Normally, the normal traffic will be transmitted and received on the working transport entity. The switching to protection transport entity is usually triggered by link/node failure, external commands, etc. Note that external commands are often used in transport network by operators, and they are very useful in cases of service adjustment, path maintenance, etc.

2.1. Protection Architecture Types

- 1+1 architecture

In the 1+1 architecture, a protection transport entity is associated with the working transport entity. The normal traffic is permanently bridged onto both the working transport entity and the protection transport entity at the source endpoint of the protected domain. The normal traffic on working and protection transport entities is transmitted simultaneously to the sink endpoint of the protected domain where a selection between the working and protection transport entity is made, based on predetermined criteria, such as signal fail and signal degrade indications.

- 1:1 architecture

In the 1:1 architecture, a protection transport entity is associated with the working transport entity. When the working transport entity is determined to be impaired, the normal traffic must be transferred from the working to the protection transport entity at both the source and sink endpoints of the protected domain. The selection between the working and protection transport entities is made based on predetermined criteria, such as signal fail and signal degrade indications from the working or protection transport entity.

The bridge at source endpoint can be realized in two ways: it is either a selector bridge or a broadcast bridge. With a selector bridge the normal traffic is connected either to the working transport entity or the protection transport entity. With a broadcast bridge the normal traffic is permanently connected to the working transport entity, and in case a protection switch is active also to the protection transport entity. Broadcast bridge is recommended to be used in revertive mode only.

- 1:n architecture

Zhang, et al. Expires January 13, 2012 [Page 5]

Details for the 1:n protection switching architecture will be provided in a future version of this draft.

It is worth noting that the APS protocol defined here is ready to support 1:n operations.

2.2. Protection Switching Types

The linear protection switching types can be a unidirectional switching type or a bidirectional switching type.

- Unidirectional switching type: Only the affected direction of working transport entity is switched to protection transport entity; the selectors at each endpoint operate independently. This switching type is recommended to be used for 1+1 protection in this document.
- Bidirectional switching type: Both directions of working transport entity, including the affected direction and the unaffected direction, are switched to protection transport entity. For bidirectional switching, automatic protection switching (APS) protocol is required to coordinate the two endpoints so that both have the same bridge and selector settings, even for a unidirectional failure. This type is applicable for 1+1 and 1:1 protection.
- 2.3. Protection Operation Types

The linear protection operation types can be a non-revertive operation type or a revertive operation type.

- Non-revertive operation: The normal traffic will not be switched back to the working transport entity even after a protection switching cause has cleared. This is generally accomplished by replacing the previous switch request with a "Do not Revert (DNR)" request, which has a low priority.
- Revertive operation: The normal traffic is restored to the working transport entity after the condition(s) causing the protection switching has cleared. In the case of clearing a command (e.g., Forced Switch), this happens immediately. In the case of clearing of a defect, this generally happens after the expiry of a "Waitto-Restore (WTR)" timer, which is used to avoid chattering of selectors in the case of intermittent defects.

Zhang, et al. Expires January 13, 2012 [Page 6]

3. Protection switching trigger conditions

3.1. Fault Conditions

Fault conditions mean the requests generated by the local OAM function.


- Signal Failure (SF): If an endpoint detects a failure by OAM function or other mechanism, it will submit a local signal failure (local SF) to APS module to request a protection switching. The local SF could be on working transport entity or protection transport entity.
- Signal Degrade (SD): If an endpoint detects signal degrade by OAM function or other mechanism, it will submit a local signal failure (local SD) to APS module to request a protection switching. The local SD could be on working transport entity or protection transport entity.
- 3.2. External commands

The external command issues an appropriate external request on to the protection process:

- Lockout of Protection (LO): This command is used to provide operator a tool for temporarily disabling access to the protection transport entity.
- Manual switch (MS): This command is used to provide operator a tool for temporarily switching normal traffic to working transport entity (MS-W) or protection transport entity (MS-P), unless a higher priority switch request (i.e., LP, FS, or SF) is in effect.
- Forced switch (FS): This command is used to provide operator a tool for temporarily switching normal traffic from working transport entity to protection transport entity, unless a higher priority switch request (i.e., LP) is in effect.
- Exercise (EXER): Exercise is a command to test if the APS communication is operating correctly. The signal is chosen so as not to modify the selector.
- Clear: This command between management and local protection process is not a request sent by APS to other endpoints. It is used to clear the active near end external command or WTR state.

Zhang, et al. Expires January 13, 2012 [Page 7]

4. Protection Switching Schemes







Figure 1 1+1 Unidirectional Linear Protection Switching

1+1 unidirectional protection switching is the simplest protection switching mechanism. The normal traffic is permanently bridged on both the working and protection transport entities at the source endpoint of the protection domain. In normal condition, the sink endpoint receives traffic from working transport entity. If the sink endpoint detects a failure on working transport entity, it will switch to receive traffic from protection transport entity. 1+1 unidirectional protection switching is recommended to be used for unidirectional transport entity.

Note that 1+1 unidirectional protection switching does not need APS coordination protocol since it only perform protection switching based on the local request.

Zhang, et al. Expires January 13, 2012 [Page 8]



4.2. 1+1 bidirectional protection switching

Figure 2 1+1 Bidirectional Linear Protection Switching


In 1+1 bidirectional protection switching, for each direction, the normal traffic is permanently bridged on both the working and protection transport entities at the source endpoint of the protection domain. In normal condition, for each direction, the sink endpoint receives traffic from working transport entity.

If the sink endpoint detects a failure on the working transport entity, it will switch to receive traffic from protection transport entity. It will also send an APS message to inform the sink endpoint

Zhang, et al. Expires January 13, 2012

on another direction to switch to receive traffic from protection transport entity.

APS mechanism is necessary to coordinate the two endpoints of transport entity and implement 1+1 bidirectional protection switching even for a unidirectional failure.



4.3. 1:1 bidirectional protection switching

Figure 3 1:1 Bidirectional Linear Protection Switching

In 1:1 bidirectional protection switching, for each direction, the source endpoint sends traffic on either working transport entity or

Zhang, et al. Expires January 13, 2012

protection transport entity. The sink endpoint receives the traffic from the transport entity where the source endpoint sends on.

In normal condition, for each direction, the source endpoint and sink endpoint send and receive traffic from working transport entity.

If the sink endpoint detects a failure on the working transport entity, it will switch to send and receive traffic from protection transport entity. It will also send an APS message to inform the sink endpoint on another direction to switch to send and receive traffic from protection transport entity.

APS mechanism is necessary to coordinate the two endpoints of transport entity and implement 1:1 bidirectional protection switching even for a unidirectional failure.

- 5. APS Protocol
- 5.1. APS PDU Format

APS packets MUST be sent over a G-ACh as defined in [RFC5586].

The format of APS PDU is specified in the Figure 4 below.

0 2 1 3 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 |0 0 0 1|0 0 0|0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 V.1731 Channel Type (0xXX) | MEL | Version | OpCode | Flags TLV Offset | APS Specific Information End TLV

Figure 4 APS PDU format

The following values shall be used for APS PDU:

The Y.1731 Channel Type is set as defined in [BHH MPLS-TP OAM]; 0

- o MEL: set as defined in [BHH MPLS-TP OAM];
- o Version: 0x00
- o OpCode: 0d39 (=0x27)

Zhang, et al. Expires January 13, 2012 [Page 11] Internet-Draft Linear Protection Switching in MPLS-TP July 2011

o Flags: 0x00

- o TLV Offset: 4
- o END TLV: 0x00

The format of the APS-specific information is defined in the Figure 5.

2 0 1 3 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 |Request|Pr.Type| Requested | Bridged |-+-+-| |T| Reserved Signal | Signal | State |A|B|D|R|

Figure 5 APS specific information format

- Request/State

The 4 bits indicate the protection switching request type. See Figure 6 for the code of each request/state type.

In case that there are multiple protection switching requests, only the protection switching request with the highest priority will be processed.

	1
Request/State	code/priority
Lockout of Protection (LO)	1111 (highest)
Signal Fail for Protection (SF-P)	1110
Forced Switch (FS)	1101
Signal Fail for Working (SF-W)	1011
Signal Degrade	1001
Manual Switch	0111
Wait to Restore (WTR)	0101
Exercise (EXER)	0100
Reverse Request (RR)	0010
Do Not Revert (DNR)	0001
No Request (NR)	0000 (lowest)
T	

Figure 6 Protection Switching Request code/priority

- Protection type (Pr.Type)

The 4 bits are used to specify the protection type:

A: reserved (set by default to 1) B: 0 - 1+1 (permanent bridge) 1 - 1:1 (no permanent bridge) D: 0 - Unidirectional switching 1 - Bidirectional switching R: 0 - Non-revertive operation 1 - Revertive operation

- Requested signal

Zhang, et al. Expires January 13, 2012

[Page 13]

This byte is used to indicate the traffic that the near end requests to be carried over the protection entity:

```
value = 0 Null traffic
value = 1 Normal traffic 1
value = 2 \sim 255 Reserved
```

- Bridged signal

This byte is used to indicate the traffic that is bridged onto the protection entity:

value = 0 Null traffic value = 1 Normal traffic 1 value = $2 \sim 255$ Reserved

- Bridge Type (T)

This bit is used to further specify the type of non-permanent bridge for 1:1 protection switching:

value = 0 Selector bridge value = 1 Broadcast bridge

- Reserved

This field should be set to zero.

5.2. APS transmission

The APS message should be transported on protection transport entity by encapsulated with the protection transport entity label. If an endpoint receives APS-specific information from the working entity, it should ignore this information, and should detect the Failure of Protocol defect (see Section 6).

A new APS packet must be transmitted immediately when a change in the transmitted status occurs. The first three APS packets should be transmitted as fast as possible only if the APS information to be transmitted has been changed so that fast protection switching is possible even if one or two APS packets are lost or corrupted. The

Zhang, et al. Expires January 13, 2012 [Page 14] interval of the first three APS packets should be 3.3ms. APS packets after the first three should be transmitted with the interval of 5 seconds.

If no valid APS-specific information is received, the last valid received information remains applicable.

5.3. Hold-off timer

In order to coordinate timing of protection switches at multiple layers, a hold-off timer may be required. The purpose is to allow a server layer protection switch to have a chance to fix the problem before switching at a client layer.

Each protection group should have a provisioned hold-off timer. The suggested range of the hold-off timer is 0 to 10 seconds in steps of 100 ms (accuracy of +/-5 ms).

When a new defect or more severe defect occurs (new SF/SD) on the transport entity that currently carries traffic, this event will not be reported immediately to protection switching if the provisioned hold-off timer value is non-zero. Instead, the hold-off timer will be started. When the hold-off timer expires, it will be checked whether a defect still exists on the transport entity that started the timer. If it does, that defect will be reported to protection switching. The defect need not be the same one that started the timer.

This hold-off timer mechanism shall be applied for both working and protection transport entities.

6. Protection switching logic

+----+ Persistent +-----+ SF,SD | Hold-off | fault | Local | ----->| timer logic |----->| request | +-----+ | logic | Other local requests ----->| logic (LO, FS, MS, EXER, Clear) +----+ | Highest | local request Remote APS V +----+ Remote APS +-----+ Message ----->| APS | request/state | APS process | (received | check |----->| logic | from far end) +----+ +----+ | Signaled | | APS | | Txed V | "Requested V | | signal" +----+ | +----| APS mess. | | | generator | | +----+ | | | V | APS Message | V V Failure of Protocol Detection V Set local bridge/selector

Figure 7 Protection Switching Logic

Figure 7 describes the protection switching logic.

One or more local protection switching requests may be active. The "local request logic" determines which of these requests is highest using the order of priority given in Figure 6. This highest local request information is passed on to the "APS process logic". Note that an accepted Clear command, clearance of SF(-P) or expiration of WTR timer shall not be processed by the local request logic, but

Zhang, et al. Expires January 13, 2012 [Page 16]



shall be considered as the highest local request and submitted to the APS process logic for processing.

The remote APS message is received from the far end and is subjected to the validity check and mismatch detection in "APS check". Failure of Protocol situations are as follows:

- The "B" field mismatch due to incompatible provisioning;
- The reception of APS message from the working entity due to working/protection configuration mismatch;
- No match in sent "Requested traffic" and received "requested signal" for more than 50 ms;
- No APS message is received on the protection transport entity during at least 3.5 times the long APS interval (e.g. at least 17.5 seconds) and there is no defect on the protection transport entity.

Provided the "B" field matches:

- If "D" bit mismatches, the bidirectional side will fall back to unidirectional switching.
- If the "R" bit mismatches, one side will clear switches to "WTR" and the other will clear to "DNR". The two sides will interwork and the traffic is protected.
- If the "T" bit mismatches, the side using a broadcast bridge will fall back to using a selector bridge.

The APS message with invalid information should be ignored, and the last valid received information remains applicable.

The linear protection switching algorithm commences immediately every time one of the input signals changes, i.e., when the status of any local request changes, or when a different APS specific information is received from the far end. The consequent actions of the algorithm are also initiated immediately, i.e., change the local bridge/selector position (if necessary), transmit a new APS specific information (if necessary), or detect the failure of protocol defect if the protection switching is not completed within 50 ms.

The state transition is calculated in the "APS process logic" based on the highest local request, the request of the last received

Zhang, et al. Expires January 13, 2012 [Page 17]

"Request/State" information, and state transition tables defined in Section 7, as follows:

- If the highest local request is Clear, clearance of SF(-P) or of SD, or expiration of WTR, a state transition is calculated first based on the highest local request and state machine table for local requests to obtain an intermediate state. This intermediate state is the final state in case of clearance of SF-P otherwise, starting at this intermediate state, the last received far end request and the state machine table for far end requests are used to calculate the final state.
- If the highest local request is neither Clear, nor clearance of SF(-P) or of SD, nor expiration of WTR, the APS process logic compares the highest local request with the request of the last received "Request/State" information based on Figure 6.
 - i) If the highest local request has higher or equal priority, it is used with the state transition table for local requests defined in Section 7 to determine the final state; otherwise
 - ii) The request of the last received "Request/State" information is used with the state transition table for far end requests defined in Annex A to determine the final state.

The "APS message generator" generates APS specific information with the signaled APS information for the final state from the state transition calculation (with coding as described in Figure 5).

7. Protection Switching State Transition Table

In this section, state transition tables for the following protection switching configurations are described.

- 1:1 bidirectional (revertive mode, non-revertive mode);
- 1+1 bidirectional (revertive mode, non-revertive mode);
- 1+1 unidirectional (revertive mode, non-revertive mode).

Note that any other global or local request which is not described in state transition tables does not trigger any state transition.

The states specified in the state transition tables can be described as follows:

Zha	ng,	et a	l. Exp	pires January	· 13,	2012	[Pag	e 18	3]
-----	-----	------	--------	---------------	-------	------	------	------	----

- No request: No Request is the state entered by the local priority under all conditions where no local protection switching requests (including wait-to-restore and do-not-revert) are active. NR can also indicates that the highest local request is overridden by the far end request, whose priority is higher than the highest local request. Normal traffic signal is selected from the corresponding transport entity.
- Lockout, Signal Fail(P): The access by the normal traffic to the protection transport entity is NOT allowed, due to the SF detected on the protection entity or due to the lockout of protection command applied. The normal traffic is carried by the working transport entity, regardless of the fault/degrade condition possibly present (due to the highest priority of the switching triggers leading to this state).
- Forced Switch, Signal Fail(W), Signal Degrade(W), Signal Degrade (P), Manual Switch: A switching trigger, NOT resulting in the protection transport entity unavailability is present. The normal traffic is selected either from the corresponding working transport entity or from the protection transport entity, according to the behaviour of the specific switching trigger.
- Wait to Restore: In revertive operation, after the clearing of an SF or SD on working transport entity, maintains normal traffic as selected from the protection transport entity until a wait-torestore timer expires or another request with higher priority, including a clear command, is received. This is used to prevent frequent operation of the selector in the case of intermittent failures.
- Do not revert: In non-revertive operation, this is used to maintain a normal traffic to be selected from the protection transport entity.
- Exercise: Exercise of the APS protocol.
- Reverse Request: The near end will enter and signal Reverse Request only in response to an EXER from the far end.

Zhang, et al.Expires January 13, 2012[Page 19]

7.1 State transition for 1:1 bidirectional switching with revertive mode

										Local reque	st						
			а	b	с	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	k	1	m	n	0
	State	Signalled APS	Lockout	Forced switch	SF on working ^{a)}	Working recovers from SF	SF on protection ^{a)}	Protection recovers from SF	SD on working a)	Working recovers from SD	SD on protection ^{a)}	Protection recovers from SD	Manual switch to protection	Manual switch to working	Clear	Exercise	WTR timer expires
A	No Request Working/Active Protection/Standby	NR [r/b=null]	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	→P	N/A	→Q	N/A	→G	→н	N/A	→ĸ	N/A
Е	No Request Working/Standby Protection/Active	NR [r/b=normal]	→C	→D	→E	0	→F	N/A	→P	0	→Q	N/A	→G	→н	N/A	0	N/A
C	Lockout Working/Active Protection/Standby	LO [r/b=null]	0	Ο	Ο	Ο	Ο	Ο	0	Ο	0	Ο	Ο	Ο	$ A or E^{b)} or F^{c)} or P^{d)} or Q^{e)} $	0	N/A
Ε	Forced Switch Working/Standby Protection/Active	FS [r/b=normal]	→C	0	0	0	→F	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	→A or →E ^{b)} or →P ^{d)} or →Q ^{e)}	0	N/A
E	Signal Fail (W) Working/Standby Protection/Active	SF [r/b=normal]	→C	→D	N/A		→F	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	N/A
F	Signal Fail (P) Working/Active Protection/Standby	SF-P [r/b=null]	→C	0	0	0	N/A		0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	N/A
Р	Signal Degrade (W) Working/Standby Protection/Active	SD [r/b=normal]	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	N/A	$\rightarrow I$ or $\rightarrow Q^{e_i}$	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	N/A
Ç	Signal Degrade (P) Working/Active Protection/Standby	SD [r/b=null]	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	0	0	N/A	$\rightarrow A$ or $\rightarrow P^{d}$	0	0	N/A	0	N/A
C	Manual Switch Working/Standby Protection/Active	MS [r/b=normal]	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	→P	N/A	→Q	N/A	0	0	→A	0	N/A
H	Manual Switch Working/Active Protection/Standby	MS [r/b=null]	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	→P	N/A	→Q	N/A	0	0	→A	0	N/A

Internet-Draft Linear Protection Switching in MPLS-TP

July 2011

										Local reque	st						
			a	b	с	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	k	l	m	n	0
	State	Signalled APS	Lockout	Forced switch	SF on working ^{a)}	Working recovers from SF	SF on protection ^{a)}	Protection recovers from SF	SD on working ^{a)}	Working recovers from SD	SD on protection ^{a)}	Protection recovers from SD	Manual switch to protection	Manual switch to working	Clear	Exercise	WTR timer expires
Ι	Wait to Restore Working/Standby Protection/Active	WTR [r/b=normal]	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	→P	N/A	→Q	N/A	→G	→н	→A	0	→A
K	Exercise Working/Active Protection/Standby	EXER [r/b=null]	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	→P	N/A	→Q	N/A	→G	→н	→A	0	N/A
М	Reverse Request Working/Active Protection/Standby	RR [r/b=null]	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	→P	N/A	→Q	N/A	→G	→н	N/A	→к	N/A
N(N(a) b) c) d)	 NOTE 1 - "N/A" means that the event is not expected to happen for the State. However if it does happen, the event should be ignored. NOTE 2 - "O" means that the request shall be overruled by the existing condition because it has an equal or a lower priority. NOTE 3 - "(→X)" represents that the state is not changed and remains the same state. a) Signal Fail or Signal Degrade on working or protection is input to the local priority logic only if the Signal Fail or Signal Degrade still exists after hold-off timer expires. b) If SF is reasserted. c) If SF-P is reasserted. d) If SD (W) is reasserted. e) If SD (P) is reasserted. 																

									Received fa	r end re	quest					
			р	q	r	s	t	u	v	w	х	у	z	aa	ab	ac
			LO	SF-P	FS	SF	SD	SD	MS	MS	WTR	EXER	RR	NR	NR	DNR
		Signalled	[r/b=	[r/b=	[r/b=	[r/b=	[r/b=	[r/b=	[r/b=	[r/b=						
	State	APS	null]	null]	normal]	normal]	normal]	null]	normal]	null]	normal]	null]	null]	null]	normal]	normal]
A	No Request Working/Active Protection/Standby	NR [r/b=null]	(→A)	(→ A)	→B	→B	→B	(→ A)	→B	(→A)	→B	→м	(→ A)	$(\rightarrow A)$ or $\rightarrow E^{a)}$ or $\rightarrow F^{b)}$ or $\rightarrow P^{d)}$ or $\rightarrow Q^{e)}$	(→A)	→B
В	No Request Working/Standby Protection/Active	NR [r/b=normal]	→A	→A	(→ B)	(→ B)	(→ B)	→A	(→ B)	→A	(→ B)	N/A	N/A	$ A or E^{a)} or P^{d)} $	$\rightarrow A$ or $\rightarrow I^{c)}$	(→ B)
С	Lockout Working/Active Protection/Standby	LO [r/b=null]	(→ C)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 7.2 - State transition by far end requests (1:1, bidirectional, revertive mode)

Zhang, et al.

									Received fa	r end re	quest					
			р	q	r	S	t	u	v	w	х	у	z	aa	ab	ac
	State	Signalled APS	LO [r/b= null]	SF-P [r/b= null]	FS [r/b= normal]	SF [r/b= normal]	SD [r/b= normal]	SD [r/b= null]	MS [r/b= normal]	MS [r/b= null]	WTR [r/b= normal]	EXER [r/b= null]	RR [r/b= null]	NR [r/b= null]	NR [r/b= normal]	DNR [r/b= normal]
D	Forced Switch Working/Standby Protection/Active	FS [r/b=normal]	→A	→A	(→D)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Е	Signal Fail (W) Working/Standby Protection/Active	SF [r/b=normal]	→A	→A	→B	(→ E)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F	Signal Fail (P) Working/Active Protection/Standby	SF-P [r/b=null]	→A	(→ F)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Р	Signal Degrade (W) Working/Standby Protection/Active	SD [r/b=normal]	→A	→A	→B	→B	(→ P)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q	Signal Degrade (P) Working/Active Protection/Standby	SD [r/b=null]	→A	→A	→B	→B	0	(→ Q)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Manual Switch Working/Standby Protection/Active	MS [r/b=normal]	→A	→A	→B	→B	→B	→A	(→ G)	$(\rightarrow G)$ or $\rightarrow A^{f)}$	0	0	0	0	0	0
Н	Manual Switch Working/Active Protection/Standby	MS [r/b=null]	→A	→A	→B	→B	→B	→A	0	(→ H)	0	0	0	0	0	0
I	Wait to Restore Working/Standby Protection/Active	WTR [r/b=normal]	→A	→A	→B	→B	→B	→A	→B	→A	(→ I)	0	0	N/A	0	0
K	Exercise Working/Active Protection/Standby	EXER [r/b=null]	→A	→A	→B	→B	→B	→A	→B	→A	N/A	(→ K)	(→ K)	0	N/A	0
М	Reverse Request Working/Active Protection/Standby	RR [r/b=null]	→A	→A	→B	→B	→B	→A	→B	→A	N/A	(→ M)	→A	→A	N/A	0

NOTE 1 - "N/A" means that the event is not expected to happen for the State. However if it does happen, the event should be ignored.

NOTE 2 – "O" means that the request shall be overruled by the existing condition because it has an equal or a lower priority.

NOTE 3 – " $(\rightarrow X)$ " represents that the state is not changed and remains the same state.

a) If SF is reasserted.

b) If SF-P is reasserted.

c) If the previous local state is SF (or SD (W) if applicable, see clause 11.13).

d) If SD (W) is reasserted.

e) If SD (P) is reasserted.

f) Only if the far end request is due to the simultaneous application of a manual switch to working command at the far end (i.e. no NR request acknowledging the local MS state received previously from the far end)

7.2 State transition for 1:1 bidirectional switching with non-revertive mode

Table 7.3 - State transition by local requests	(1:1, bidirectional, non-revertive mode)
--	--

									Loca	l request						
			a	b	с	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	k	1	m	n
	State	Signalled APS	Lockout	Forced switch	SF on working ^{a)}	Working recovers from SF	SF on protection ^{a)}	Protection recovers from SF	SD on working ^{a)}	Working recovers from SD	SD on protection ^{a)}	Protection recovers from SD	Manual switch to protection	Manual switch to working	Clear	Exercise
А	No Request Working/Active Protection/Standby	NR [r/b=null]	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	→P	N/A	→Q	N/A	→G	→H	N/A	→K
В	No Request Working/Standby Protection/Active	NR [r/b=normal]	→C	→D	→E	0	→F	N/A	→P	0	→Q	N/A	→G	→н	N/A	0
С	Lockout Working/Active Protection/Standby	LO [r/b=null]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
D	Forced Switch Working/Standby Protection/Active	FS [r/b=normal]	→C	0	0	0	→F	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	$ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{\rightarrow} \mathbf{J} \\ \text{or } \mathbf{\rightarrow} \mathbf{E}^{\mathbf{b})} \\ \text{or } \mathbf{\rightarrow} \mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{d})} \\ \text{or } \mathbf{\rightarrow} \mathbf{Q}^{\mathbf{e})} \end{array} $	0
E	Signal Fail (W) Working/Standby Protection/Active	SF [r/b=normal]	→C	→D	N/A		→F	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0
F	Signal Fail (P) Working/Active Protection/Standby	SF-P [r/b=null]	→C	0	0	0	N/A		0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0
Р	Signal Degrade (W) Working/Standby Protection/Active	SD [r/b=normal]	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	N/A	$\rightarrow J$ or $\rightarrow Q^{e)}$	0	0	0	0	N/A	0
Q	Signal Degrade (P) Working/Active Protection/Standby	SD [r/b=null]	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	0	0	N/A	$\rightarrow A$ or $\rightarrow P^{d}$	0	0	N/A	0
G	Manual Switch Working/Standby Protection/Active	MS [r/b=normal]	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	→P	N/A	→Q	N/A	0	0	⇒ı	0

								Loca	l request						
		a	b	с	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	k	1	m	n
State	Signalled APS	Lockout	Forced switch	SF on working ^{a)}	Working recovers from SF	SF on protection ^{a)}	Protection recovers from SF	SD on working a)	Working recovers from SD	SD on protection a)	Protection recovers from SD	Manual switch to protection	Manual switch to working	Clear	Exercise
H Manual Switch Working/Active Protection/Standby	MS [r/b=null]	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	→P	N/A	→Q	N/A	0	0	→A	0
J Do Not Revert Working/Standby Protection/Active	DNR [r/b=normal]	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	→P	N/A	→Q	N/A	→G	→H	N/A	→L
K Exercise Working/Active Protection/Standby	EXER [r/b=null]	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	→P	N/A	→Q	N/A	→G	→н	→A	0
L Exercise Working/Standby Protection/Active	EXER [r/b=normal]	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	→P	N/A	→Q	N/A	→G	→H	⇒ı	0
M Reverse Request Working/Active Protection/Standby	RR [r/b=null]	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	→P	N/A	→Q	N/A	→G	→H	N/A	→ĸ
N Reverse Request Working/Standby Protection/Active	RR [r/b=normal]	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	→P	N/A	→Q	N/A	→G	→н	N/A	→L

NOTE 3 – " $(\rightarrow X)$ " represents that the state is not changed and remains the same state. a) Signal Fail or Signal Degrade on working or protection is input to the local priority logic only if the Signal Fail or Signal Degrade still exists after hold-off timer expires.

b) If SF is reasserted.

c) If SF-P is reasserted.

d) If SD (W) is reasserted.

e) If SD (P) is reasserted.

Internet-Draft

Table 7.4 - State transition by far end reques	s (1:1, bidirectional, non-revertive mode)
--	--

										Received	far end re	quest						
			0	р	q	r	S	t	u	v	w	x	у	Z	aa	ab	ac	ad
			LO	SF-P	FS	SF	SD	SD	MS	MS	WTR	EXER	EXER	RR	RR	NR	NR	DNR
	State	Signalled APS	[r/b= null]	[r/b= null]	[r/b= normal]	[r/b=	[r/b= normal]	[r/b= null]	[r/b= normal]	[r/b= null]	[r/b= normal]	[r/b= null]	[r/b= normal]	[r/b= null]	[r/b= normal]	[r/b= null]	[r/b= normal]	[r/b=
Α	No Request	NR APS	$(\rightarrow A)$	$(\rightarrow A)$	→B	normal] →B	normai] →B	$(\rightarrow A)$	normaij →B	$(\rightarrow A)$	→B	→M	N/A	$(\rightarrow A)$	N/A	$(\rightarrow A)$	$(\rightarrow A)$	normal] →J
Λ	Working/Active Protection/Standby	[r/b=null]											IVA		IVA	or $\rightarrow E^{a)}$ or $\rightarrow F^{b)}$ or $\rightarrow P^{c)}$ or $\rightarrow Q^{d)}$		
В	No Request Working/Standby Protection/Active	NR [r/b=normal]	→A	→A	(→ B)	(→ B)	(→ B)	→A	(→ B)	→A	(→ B)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	$ A or \rightarrow E^{a)} or \rightarrow P^{c)} $	→ı	⇒ı
С	Lockout Working/Active Protection/Standby	LO [r/b=null]	(→ C)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
D	Forced Switch Working/Standby Protection/Active	FS [r/b=normal]	→A	→A	(→ D)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
E	Signal Fail (W) Working/Standby Protection/Active	SF [r/b=normal]	→A	→A	→B	(→ E)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F	Signal Fail (P) Working/Active Protection/Standby	SF-P [r/b=null]	→A	(→ F)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Р	Signal Degrade (W) Working/Standby Protection/Active	SD [r/b=normal]	→A	→A	→B	→B	(→ P)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q	Signal Degrade (P) Working/Active Protection/Standby	SD [r/b=null]	→A	→A	→B	→B	0	(→ Q)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Manual Switch Working/Standby Protection/Active	MS [r/b=normal]	→A	→A	→B	→B	→B	→A	(→ G)	$(\rightarrow G)$ or $\rightarrow A^{e}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Н	Manual Switch Working/Active Protection/Standby	MS [r/b=null]	→A	→A	→B	→B	→B	→A	0	(→ H)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
J	Do Not Revert Working/Standby Protection/Active	DNR [r/b=normal]	→A	→A	→B	→B	→B	→A	→B	→A	→B	N/A	→N	N/A	(→ J)	0	0	(→ 1)

										Received	far end red	quest						
			0	р	q	r	s	t	u	v	w	х	У	Z	aa	ab	ac	ad
			LO	SF-P	FS	SF	SD	SD	MS	MS	WTR	EXER	EXER	RR	RR	NR	NR	DNR
	State	Signalled APS	[r/b= null]	[r/b= null]	[r/b= normal]	[r/b= normal]	[r/b= normal]	[r/b= null]	[r/b= normal]	[r/b= normal]								
K	Exercise Working/Active Protection/Standby	EXER [r/b=null]	→A	→A	→B	→B	→B	→A	→B	→A	→B	(→ K)	N/A	(→ K)	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
L	Exercise Working/Standby Protection/Active	EXER [r/b=normal]	→A	→A	→B	→B	→B	→A	→B	→A	→B	N/A	(→ L)	N/A	(→ L)	N/A	0	0
М	I Reverse Request Working/Active Protection/Standby	RR [r/b=null]	→A	→A	→B	→B	→B	→A	→B	→A	→B	(→ M)	N/A	→A	N/A	→A	N/A	N/A
N	Reverse Request Working/Standby Protection/Active	RR [r/b=normal]	→A	→A	→B	→B	→B	→A	→B	→A	→B	N/A	(→ N)	N/A	⇒ı	N/A	N/A	→J

NOTE 1 – "N/A" means that the event is not expected to happen for the State. However if it does happen, the event should be ignored.

NOTE 2 - "O" means that the request shall be overruled by the existing condition because it has an equal or a lower priority.

NOTE 3 – " $(\rightarrow X)$ " represents that the state is not changed and remains the same state.

a) If SF is reasserted.

b) If SF-P is reasserted.

c) If SD (W) is reasserted.

d) If SD (P) is reasserted.

e) Only if the far end request is due to the simultaneous application of a manual switch to working command at the far end (i.e. no NR request acknowledging the local MS state received previously from the far end)

7.3 State transition for 1+1 bidirectional switching with revertive mode

Table 7.5 - State transition by local	requests (1+1,	bidirectional,	revertive mode)
---------------------------------------	----------------	----------------	-----------------

										Local reque	st						
			а	b	с	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	k	1	m	n	0
	State	Signalled APS	Lockout	Forced switch	SF on working a)	Working recovers from SF	SF on protection ^{a)}	Protection recovers from SF	SD on working ^{a)}	Working recovers from SD	SD on protection ^{a)}	Protection recovers from SD	Manual switch to protection	Manual switch to working	Clear	Exercise	WTR timer expires
А	No Request Working/Active Protection/Standby	NR [r=null, b=normal]	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	→P	N/A	→Q	N/A	→G	→H	N/A	→ĸ	N/A
В	No Request Working/Standby Protection/Active	NR [r/b=normal]	→c	→D	→E	0	→F	N/A	→P	Ο	→Q	N/A	→G	→н	N/A	0	N/A
С	Lockout Working/Active Protection/Standby	LO [r=null, b=normal]	Ο	0	Ο	0	Ο	0	Ο	0	0	Ο	0	Ο	$ A or E^{b)} or F^{c)} or P^{d)} or Q^{e)} $	0	N/A
D	Forced Switch Working/Standby Protection/Active	FS [r/b=normal]	→C	0	0	0	→F	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	N/A
Е	Signal Fail (W) Working/Standby Protection/Active	SF [r/b=normal]	→C	→D	N/A	$ I or P^{d)} or Q^{e)} $	→F	N/A	0	0	Ο	Ο	0	0	N/A	0	N/A
F	Signal Fail (P) Working/Active Protection/Standby	SF-P [r=null, b=normal]	→C	0	0	0	N/A		0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	N/A
Р	Signal Degrade (W) Working/Standby Protection/Active	SD [r/b=normal]	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	N/A	$\rightarrow I$ or $\rightarrow Q^{e^{i}}$	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	N/A
Q	Signal Degrade (P) Working/Active Protection/Standby	SD [r=null, b=normal]	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	0	0	N/A	$\rightarrow A$ or $\rightarrow P^{d}$	0	0	N/A	0	N/A
G	Working/Standby Protection/Active	MS [r/b=normal]	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	→P	N/A	→Q	N/A	0	0	→A	0	N/A
Н	Manual Switch Working/Active Protection/Standby	MS [r=null, b=normal]	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	→P	N/A	→Q	N/A	0	0	→A	0	N/A

Internet-Draft

Linear Protection Switching in MPLS-TP

July 2011

										Local reque	st						
			a	b	с	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	k	1	m	n	0
	State	Signalled APS	Lockout	Forced switch	SF on working ^{a)}	Working recovers from SF	SF on protection ^{a)}	Protection recovers from SF	SD on working ^{a)}	Working recovers from SD	SD on protection ^{a)}	Protection recovers from SD	Manual switch to protection	Manual switch to working	Clear	Exercise	WTR timer expires
Ι	Wait to Restore Working/Standby Protection/Active	WTR [r/b=normal]	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	→P	N/A	→Q	N/A	→G	→н	→A	0	→A
K	Exercise Working/Active Protection/Standby	EXER [r=null, b=normal]	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	→P	N/A	→Q	N/A	→G	→н	→A	0	N/A
М	Reverse Request Working/Active Protection/Standby	RR [r=null, b=normal]	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	→P	N/A	→Q	N/A	→G	→н	N/A	→К	N/A
N(N(a) b) c) d)	DTE 1 – "N/A" means the DTE 2 – "O" means that DTE 3 – " $(\rightarrow \mathbf{X})$ " represe Signal Fail or Signal De If SF is reasserted. If SF-P is reasserted. If SD (W) is reasserted. If SD (P) is reasserted.	the request shall nts that the state	be overruled is not change	l by the exi ed and rem	sting conditi ains the sam	on because it e state.	has an equal of	or a lower prior	rity.		ter hold-off tin	ner expires.					

Table 7.6 - State	transition by	far end	requests ((1+1,	bidirectional,	revertive mode))
-------------------	---------------	---------	------------	-------	----------------	-----------------	---

									Received fa	ar end request						
			р	q	r	s	t	u	v	w	х	У	Z	aa	ab	ac
			LO	SF-P	FS	SF	SD	SD	MS	MS	WTR	EXER	RR	NR	NR	DNR
		Signalled	[r=null,	[r=null,	[r/b=	[r/b=	[r/b=	[r=null,	[r/b=	[r=null,	[r/b=	r=null,	r=null,	r=null,	[r/b=	[r/b=
	State	APS	b=normal]	b=normal]	normal]	normal]	normal]	b=normal]	normal]	b=normal]	normal]	b=normal]	b=normal]	b=normal]	normal]	normal]
Α	No Request	NR	(→A)	(→A)	→B	→B	→B	(→A)	→B	(→A)	→B	→M	(→A)	(→A)	(→ A)	→B
	Working/Active Protection/Standby	[r=null, b=normal]												or $\rightarrow E^{a)}$ or $\rightarrow F^{b)}$ or $\rightarrow P^{d)}$ or $\rightarrow Q^{e)}$		
В	No Request Working/Standby Protection/Active	NR [r/b=normal]	→A	→A	(→ B)	(→ B)	(→ B)	→A	(→ B)	→A	(→ B)	N/A	N/A	$ \overrightarrow{\rightarrow} A \\ \text{or } \overrightarrow{\rightarrow} E^{a)} \\ \text{or } \overrightarrow{\rightarrow} P^{d)} $	$\rightarrow A$ or $\rightarrow I^{c)}$	(→ B)
С	Lockout Working/Active Protection/Standby	LO [r=null, b=normal]	(→ C)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

									Received fa	ar end request						
			р	q	r	s	t	u	v	W	x	у	Z	aa	ab	ac
			LO	SF-P	FS	SF	SD	SD	MS	MS	WTR	EXER	RR	NR	NR	DNR
	State	Signalled APS	[r=null, b=normal]	[r=null, b=normal]	[r/b= normal]	[r/b= normal]	[r/b= normal]	[r=null, b=normal]	[r/b= normal]	[r=null, b=normal]	[r/b= normal]	r=null, b=normal]	r=null, b=normal]	r=null, b=normal]	[r/b= normal]	[r/b= normal]
D	Forced Switch Working/Standby Protection/Active	FS [r/b=normal]	→A	→A	(→ D)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Е	Signal Fail (W) Working/Standby Protection/Active	SF [r/b=normal]	→A	→A	→B	(→ E)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F	Signal Fail (P) Working/Active Protection/Standby	SF-P [r=null, b=normal]	→A	(→ F)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Р	Signal Degrade (W) Working/Standby Protection/Active	SD [r/b=normal]	→A	→A	→B	→B	(→ P)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q	Signal Degrade (P) Working/Active Protection/Standby	SD [r=null, b=normal]	→A	→A	→B	→B	0	(→ Q)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Manual Switch Working/Standby Protection/Active	MS [r/b=normal]	→A	→A	→B	→B	→B	→A	(→ G)	$(\rightarrow G)$ or $\rightarrow A^{f}$	0	0	0	0	0	0
Н	Manual Switch Working/Active Protection/Standby	MS [r=null, b=normal]	→A	→A	→B	→B	→B	→A	0	(→ H)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ι	Wait to Restore Working/Standby Protection/Active	WTR [r/b=normal]	→A	→A	→B	→B	→B	→A	→B	→A	(→ I)	0	0	N/A	0	0
K	Exercise Working/Active Protection/Standby	EXER [r=null, b=normal]	→A	→A	→B	→B	→B	→A	→B	→A	N/A	(→ K)	(→ K)	0	N/A	0
М	Reverse Request Working/Active Protection/Standby	RR [r=null, b=normal]	→A	→A	→B	→B	→B	→A	→B	→A	N/A	(→ M)	→A	→A	N/A	0

NOTE 1 - "N/A" means that the event is not expected to happen for the State. However if it does happen, the event should be ignored.

NOTE 2 - "O" means that the request shall be overruled by the existing condition because it has an equal or a lower priority.

NOTE $3 - "(\rightarrow X)"$ represents that the state is not changed and remains the same state.

a) If SF is reasserted.

b) If SF-P is reasserted.

c) If the previous local state is SF (or SD (W) if applicable, see clause 11.13).

d) If SD (W) is reasserted.

e) If SD (P) is reasserted.

f) Only if the far end request is due to the simultaneous application of a manual switch to working command at the far end (i.e. no NR request acknowledging the local MS state received previously from the far end)

7.4 State transition for 1+1 bidirectional switching with non-revertive mode

Table	7.7	- State	transition	by	local	requests	(1+1,	bidirectional,	non-revertive mode)

									Loca	l request						
			a	b	с	d	е	f	g	h	i	j	k	1	m	n
	State	Signalled APS	Lockout	Forced switch	SF on working a)	Working recovers from SF	SF on protection ^{a)}	Protection recovers from SF	SD on working a)	Working recovers from SD	SD on protection ^{a)}	Protection recovers from SD	Manual switch to protection	Manual switch to working	Clear	Exercise
А	No Request Working/Active Protection/Standby	NR [r=null, b=normal]	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	→P	N/A	→Q	N/A	→G	→н	N/A	→к
В	No Request Working/Standby Protection/Active	NR [r/b=normal]	→C	→D	→E	0	→F	N/A	→P	0	→Q	N/A	→G	→н	N/A	0
C	Lockout Working/Active Protection/Standby	LO [r=null, b=normal]	0	0	0	0	0	Ο	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
D	Forced Switch Working/Standby Protection/Active	FS [r/b=normal]	→C	0	0	0	→F	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Е	Signal Fail (W) Working/Standby Protection/Active	SF [r/b=normal]	→C	→D	N/A		→F	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0
F	Signal Fail (P) Working/Active Protection/Standby	SF-P [r=null, b=normal]	→C	0	0	0	N/A		0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0
Р	Signal Degrade (W) Working/Standby Protection/Active	SD [r/b=normal]	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	N/A	$\rightarrow J$ or $\rightarrow Q^{e_0}$	0	0	0	0	N/A	0
Q	Signal Degrade (P) Working/Active Protection/Standby	SD [r=null, b=normal]	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	0	0	N/A	$\rightarrow A$ or $\rightarrow P^{d}$	0	0	N/A	0
G	Manual Switch Working/Standby Protection/Active	MS [r/b=normal]	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	→P	N/A	→Q	N/A	0	0	⇒ı	0

			1						Loca	l request						
			а	b	с	d	е	f	g	h	i	i	k	1	m	n
	State	Signalled APS	Lockout	Forced switch	SF on working a)	Working recovers from SF	SF on protection ^{a)}	Protection recovers from SF	SD on working a)	Working recovers from SD	SD on protection ^{a)}	Protection recovers from SD	Manual switch to protection	Manual switch to working	Clear	Exercise
Н	Manual Switch Working/Active Protection/Standby	MS [r=null, b=normal]	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	→P	N/A	→Q	N/A	0	0	→A	0
J	Do Not Revert Working/Standby Protection/Active	DNR [r/b=normal]	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	→P	N/A	→Q	N/A	→G	→H	N/A	→L
K	Exercise Working/Active Protection/Standby	EXER [r=null, b=normal]	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	→P	N/A	→Q	N/A	→G	→H	→A	0
L	Exercise Working/Standby Protection/Active	EXER [r/b=normal]	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	→P	N/A	→Q	N/A	→G	→H	⇒ı	0
М	Reverse Request Working/Active Protection/Standby	RR [r=null, b=normal]	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	→P	N/A	→Q	N/A	→G	→H	N/A	→к
N	Reverse Request Working/Standby Protection/Active	RR [r/b=normal]	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	→P	N/A	→Q	N/A	→G	→H	N/A	→L
NC NC a) S	TE 1 – "N/A" means that TE 2 – "O" means that t TE 3 – "(\rightarrow X)" represen- Signal Fail or Signal Deg	he request shall the state	be overruled is not change	by the existed and remain	sting condition ains the same	on because it e state.	has an equal of	or a lower prior	ity.		er hold-off tim	ner expires.				

b) If SF is reasserted.

c) If SF-P is reasserted.

d) If SD (W) is reasserted.

e) If SD (P) is reasserted.

								Received far	end reques	t				
			0	р	q	r	s	t	u	v	w	x	у	z
			LO	SF-P	FS	SF	SD	SD	MS	MS	WTR	EXER	EXER	RR
		Signalled	[r=null,	[r=null,	[r/b=	[r/b=	[r/b=	[r=null,	[r/b=	[r=null,	[r/b=	[r=null,	[r/b=	[r=null,
	State	APS	b=normal]	b=normal]	normal]	normal	normal]	b=normal]	normal]	b=normal]	normal]	b=normal]	normal]	b=normal]
A	No Request Working/Active Protection/Standby	NR [r=null, b=normal]	(→A)	(→ A)	→в	→B	→B	(→ A)	→B	(→A)	→B	→м	N/A	(→ A)
В	No Request Working/Standby Protection/Active	NR [r/b=normal]	→A	→A	(→ B)	(→ B)	(→ B)	→A	(→ B)	→A	(→ B)	N/A	N/A	N/A
С	Lockout Working/Active Protection/Standby	LO [r= null, b=normal]	(→ C)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
D	Forced Switch Working/Standby Protection/Active	FS [r/b=normal]	→A	→A	(→ D)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
E	Signal Fail (W) Working/Standby Protection/Active	SF [r/b=normal]	→A	→A	→в	(→ E)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F	Signal Fail (P) Working/Active Protection/Standby	SF-P [r= null, b=normal]	→A	(→ F)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Р	Signal Degrade (W) Working/Standby Protection/Active	SD [r/b=normal]	→A	→A	→в	→B	(→ P)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q	Signal Degrade (P) Working/Active Protection/Standby	SD [r= null, b=normal]	→A	→A	→в	→B	0	(→ Q)	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Manual Switch Working/Standby Protection/Active	MS [r/b=normal]	→A	→A	→в	→B	→B	→A	(→ G)	$(\rightarrow G)$ or $\rightarrow A^{e)}$	0	0	0	0
Н	Manual Switch Working/Active Protection/Standby	MS [r= null, b=normal]	→A	→A	→B	→B	→B	→A	0	(→ H)	0	0	0	0
J	Do Not Revert Working/Standby Protection/Active	DNR [r/b=normal]	→A	→A	→B	→B	→B	→A	→B	→A	→B	N/A	→N	N/A

				Received far	end request	
			aa	ab	ac	ad
			RR	NR	NR	DNR
		Signalled	[r/b=	[r=null,	[r/b=	[r/b=
	State	APS	normal]	b=normal]	normal]	normal]
A	No Request Working/Active Protection/Standby	NR [r=null, b=normal]	N/A	$(\rightarrow A)$ or $\rightarrow E^{a)}$ or $\rightarrow F^{b)}$ or $\rightarrow P^{c)}$ or $\rightarrow Q^{d)}$	(→A)	→ı
В	No Request Working/Standby Protection/Active	NR [r/b=normal]	N/A	$ A \\ or \rightarrow E^{a)} \\ or \rightarrow P^{c)} $	⇒ı	⇒ı
С	Lockout Working/Active Protection/Standby	LO [r= null, b=normal]	0	0	0	0
D	Forced Switch Working/Standby Protection/Active	FS [r/b=normal]	0	0	0	0
E	Signal Fail (W) Working/Standby Protection/Active	SF [r/b=normal]	0	0	0	0
F	Signal Fail (P) Working/Active Protection/Standby	SF-P [r= null, b=normal]	0	0	0	Ο
Р	Signal Degrade (W) Working/Standby Protection/Active	SD [r/b=normal]	0	0	0	0
Q	Signal Degrade (P) Working/Active Protection/Standby	SD [r= null, b=normal]	0	0	0	0
G	Manual Switch Working/Standby Protection/Active	MS [r/b=normal]	0	0	0	0
Н	Manual Switch Working/Active Protection/Standby	MS [r= null, b=normal]	0	0	0	0
J	Do Not Revert Working/Standby Protection/Active	DNR [r/b=normal]	(→ J)	0	0	(→ J)

								Received far	end reques	t				
			0	р	q	r	s	t	u	v	w	x	у	Z
			LO	SF-P	FS	SF	SD	SD	MS	MS	WTR	EXER	EXER	RR
	State	Signalled APS	[r=null, b=normal]	[r=null, b=normal]	[r/b= normal]	[r/b= normal	[r/b= normal]	[r=null, b=normal]	[r/b= normal]	[r=null, b=normal]	[r/b= normal]	[r=null, b=normal]	[r/b= normal]	[r=null, b=normal]
K	Exercise Working/Active Protection/Standby	EXER [r=null, b=normal]	→A	→A	→в	→B	→B	→A	→B	→A	→B	(→ K)	N/A	(→ K)
L	Exercise Working/Standby Protection/Active	EXER [r/b=normal]	→A	→A	→B	→B	→B	→A	→B	→A	→B	N/A	(→ L)	N/A
М	Reverse Request Working/Active Protection/Standby	RR [r=null, b=normal]	→A	→A	→в	→B	→B	→A	→B	→A	→B	(→ M)	N/A	→A
N	Reverse Request Working/Standby Protection/Active	RR [r/b=normal]	→A	→A	→в	→B	→B	→A	→B	→A	→B	N/A	(→ N)	N/A

				Received far	end request	
			aa	ab	ac	ad
			RR	NR	NR	DNR
		Signalled	[r/b=	[r=null,	[r/b=	[r/b=
	State	APS	normal]	b=normal]	normal]	normal]
K	Exercise	EXER	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
	Working/Active	[r=null,				
	Protection/Standby	b=normal]				
L	Exercise	EXER	(→L)	N/A	0	0
	Working/Standby	[r/b=normal]				
	Protection/Active					
Μ	Reverse Request	RR	N/A	→A	N/A	N/A
	Working/Active	[r=null,				
	Protection/Standby	b=normal]				
N	Reverse Request	RR	→J	N/A	N/A	→J
	Working/Standby	[r/b=normal]				
	Protection/Active					

NOTE 1 – "N/A" means that the event is not expected to happen for the State. However if it does happen, the event should be ignored.

NOTE 2 – "O" means that the request shall be overruled by the existing condition because it has an equal or a lower priority.

NOTE 3 – " $(\rightarrow X)$ " represents that the state is not changed and remains the same state.

a) If SF is reasserted.

b) If SF-P is reasserted.

c) If SD (W) is reasserted.

d) If SD (P) is reasserted.

e) Only if the far end request is due to the simultaneous application of a manual switch to working command at the far end (i.e. no NR request acknowledging the local MS state received previously from the far end)

Internet-Draft

7.5 State transition for 1+1 unidirectional switching with revertive mode

			Local request													
		а	b	с	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	k	1	m	n	0
	State	Lockout	Forced switch	SF on working a)	Working recovers from SF	SF on protection ^{a)}	Protection recovers from SF	SD on working a)	Working recovers from SD	SD on protection ^{a)}	Protection recovers from SD	Manual switch to protection	Manual switch to working	Clear	Exercise	WTR timer expires
A	No Request Working/Active Protection/Standby	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	→P	N/A	→Q	N/A	→G	→H	N/A	N/A	N/A
С	Lockout Working/Active Protection/Standby	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$(\rightarrow A)$ or $\rightarrow E^{b)}$ or $\rightarrow F^{c)}$ or $\rightarrow P^{d)}$ or $\rightarrow Q^{e)}$	N/A	N/A
D	Forced Switch Working/Standby Protection/Active	→C	0	0	0	→F	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	$(\rightarrow A)$ or $\rightarrow E^{b)}$ or $\rightarrow P^{d)}$ or $\rightarrow Q^{e)}$	N/A	N/A
Е	Signal Fail (W) Working/Standby Protection/Active	→C	→D	N/A	$ I or P^{d} or Q^{e} $	→F	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
F	Signal Fail (P) Working/Active Protection/Standby	→C	0	0	0	N/A		0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Р	Signal Degrade (W) Working/Standby Protection/Active	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	N/A	$\rightarrow I$ or $\rightarrow Q^{e_i}$	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Q	Signal Degrade (P) Working/Active Protection/Standby	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	0	0	N/A	$\rightarrow A$ or $\rightarrow P^{d}$	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
G	Manual Switch Working/Standby Protection/Active	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	→P	N/A	→Q	N/A	0	0	→A	N/A	N/A
Н	Manual Switch Working/Active Protection/Standby	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	→P	N/A	→Q	N/A	0	0	→A	N/A	N/A
Ι	Wait to Restore Working/Standby Protection/Active	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	→P	N/A	→Q	N/A	→G	→H	→A	N/A	→A

Table 7.9 - State transition by local requests (1+1, unidirectional, revertive mode)

NOTE 1 – "N/A" means that the event is not expected to happen for the State. However if it does happen, the event should be ignored.

NOTE 2 – "O" means that the request shall be overruled by the existing condition because it has an equal or a lower priority.

NOTE 3 – " $(\rightarrow X)$ " represents that the state is not changed and remains the same state.

a) Signal Fail or Signal Degrade on working or protection is input to the local priority logic only if the Signal Fail or Signal Degrade still exists after hold-off timer expires.
b) If SF is reasserted.
c) If SF-P is reasserted.
d) If SD (W) is reasserted.
e) If SD (P) is reasserted.

7.6 State transition for 1+1 unidirectional switching with non-revertive mode

								Loca	l request						
		а	b	с	d	е	f	g	h	i	j	k	1	m	n
	State	Lockout	Forced switch	SF on working a)	Working recovers from SF	SF on protection ^{a)}	Protection recovers from SF	SD on working a)	Working recovers from SD	SD on protection ^{a)}	Protection recovers from SD	Manual switch to protection	Manual switch to working	Clear	Exercise
А	No Request Working/Active Protection/Standby	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	→P	N/A	→Q	N/A	→G	→H	N/A	N/A
С	Lockout Working/Active Protection/Standby	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		N/A
D	Forced Switch Working/Standby Protection/Active	→C	0	0	0	→F	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0		N/A
Е	Signal Fail (W) Working/Standby Protection/Active	→C	→D	N/A	$\rightarrow J$ or $\rightarrow P^{d}$ or $\rightarrow Q^{e}$	→F	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A
F	Signal Fail (P) Working/Active Protection/Standby	→C	0	0	0	N/A		0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A
Р	Signal Degrade (W) Working/Standby Protection/Active	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	N/A	$\rightarrow J$ or $\rightarrow Q^{e_i}$	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A
Q	Signal Degrade (P) Working/Active Protection/Standby	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	0	0	N/A	$\rightarrow A$ or $\rightarrow P^{d}$	0	0	N/A	N/A

Table 7.10 - State transition by local requests (1+1, unidirectional, non-revertive mode)

								Loca	l request						
	a b c d e f g h i j											k	1	m	n
	State	Lockout	Forced switch	SF on working a)	Working recovers from SF	SF on protection ^{a)}	Protection recovers from SF	SD on working a)	Working recovers from SD	SD on protection ^{a)}	Protection recovers from SD	Manual switch to protection	Manual switch to working	Clear	Exercise
G	Manual Switch Working/Standby Protection/Active	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	→P	N/A	→Q	N/A	0	0	⇒ı	N/A
Н	Manual Switch Working/Active Protection/Standby	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	→P	N/A	→Q	N/A	0	0	→A	N/A
J	Do Not Revert Working/Standby Protection/Active	→C	→D	→E	N/A	→F	N/A	→P	N/A	→Q	N/A	→G	→H	N/A	N/A

NOTE 1 - "N/A" means that the event is not expected to happen for the State. However if it does happen, the event should be ignored.

NOTE 2 - "O" means that the request shall be overruled by the existing condition because it has an equal or a lower priority.

a) Signal Fail or Signal Degrade on working or protection is input to the local priority logic only if the Signal Fail or Signal Degrade still exists after hold-off timer expires.

b) If SF is reasserted.

c) If SF-P is reasserted.

d) If SD (W) is reasserted.

e) If SD (P) is reasserted.

Internet-Draft Linear Protection Switching in MPLS-TP July 2011

8. Security Considerations

To be added in a future version of the document.

9. IANA Considerations

To be added in a future version of the document.

10. Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Hao Long, Vincenzo Sestito, Italo Busi, Igor Umansky for their input to and review of the current document.

APPENDIX A: Operation Examples of APS Protocol

The sequence diagrams shown in this section are only a few examples of the APS operations. The first APS message which differs from the previous APS message is shown. The operation of hold-off timer is omitted. The fields whose values are changed during APS packet exchange are shown in the APS packet exchange. They are Request/State, requested traffic, and bridged traffic. For an example, SF(0,1)represents an APS packet with the following field values: Request/State = SF, requested signal = 0, and bridged signal = 1. The values of the other fields remain unchanged from the initial configuration. The signal numbers 0 and 1 refer to null signal and normal traffic signal, respectively. W(A->Z) and P(A->Z) indicate the working and protection paths in the direction of A to Z, respectively.

Example 1. 1:1 bidirectional protection switching (revertive mode) -Unidirectional SF case

	A Z	, 1
(1)	 NR(0,0)> < NR(0,0)	
(2)	 (SF on W(Z->A)) SF(1,1)> < NR(1,1)	(3)
(4)		
(5)	(Recovery) WTR(1,1)>	
WTR timer	ļ į	
	 NR(0,0)> < NR(0,0)	(7)

(1) The protection domain is operating without any defect, and the working entity is used for delivering the normal traffic.

(2) Signal Fail occurs on the working entity in the Z to A direction. Selector and bridge of node A select protection entity. Node A generates SF(r=1, b=1) message.

Zhang, et al. Expires January 13, 2012

[Page 39]

Internet-Draft Linear Protection Switching in MPLS-TP July 2011

(3) Upon receiving SF(r=1, b=1), node Z sets selector and bridge to protection entity. As there is no local request in node Z, node Z generates NR(r=1, b=1) message.

(4) Node A confirms that the far end is also selecting protection entity.


(5) Node A detects clearing of SF condition, starts the WTR timer, and sends WTR(r=1, b=1) message.

(6) At expiration of the WTR timer, node A sets selector and bridge to working entity and sends NR(r=0, b=0) message.

(7) Node Z is notified that the far end request has been cleared, and sets selector and bridge to working entity.

(8) It is confirmed that the far end is also selecting working entity.

Example 2. 1:1 bidirectional protection switching (revertive mode) -Bidirectional SF case



(1) The protection domain is operating without any defect, and the working entity is used for delivering the normal traffic.

Zhang, et al. Expires January 13, 2012

[Page 40]

Internet-Draft Linear Protection Switching in MPLS-TP July 2011

(2) Nodes A and Z detect local Signal Fail conditions on the working entity, set selector and bridge to protection entity, and generate SF(r=1, b=1) messages.

(3) Upon receiving SF(r=1, b=1), each node confirms that the far end is also selecting protection entity.

(4) Each node detects clearing of SF condition, and sends NR(r=1, b=1) message as the last received APS message was SF.

(5) Upon receiving NR(r=1, b=1), each node starts the WTR timer and sends WTR(r=1, b=1).

(6) At expiration of the WTR timer, each node sends NR(r=1, b=1) as the last received APS message was WTR.

(7) Upon receiving NR(r=1, b=1), each node sets selector and bridge to working entity and sends NR(r=0, b=0) message.

(8) It is confirmed that the far end is also selecting working entity.

Example 3. 1:1 bidirectional protection switching (revertive mode) -Bidirectional SF case - Inconsistent WTR timers



(1) The protection domain is operating without any defect, and the working entity is used for delivering the normal traffic.

(2) Nodes A and Z detect local Signal Fail conditions on the working entity, set selector and bridge to protection entity, and generate SF(r=1, b=1) messages.

(3) Upon receiving SF(r=1, b=1), each node confirms that the far end is also selecting protection entity.

(4) Each node detects clearing of SF condition, and sends NR(r=1, b=1) message as the last received APS message was SF.

(5) Upon receiving NR(r=1, b=1), each node starts the WTR timer and sends WTR(r=1, b=1).

(6) At expiration of the WTR timer in node A, node A sends NR(r=1, r)b=1) as the last received APS message was WTR.

(7) At node Z, the received NR(r=1, b=1) is ignored as the local WTR has a higher priority.

(8) At expiration of the WTR timer in node Z, node Z node sets selector and bridge to working entity, and sends NR(r=0, b=0) message.

Zhang, et al. Expires January 13, 2012 [Page 42]

(9) Upon receiving NR(r=0, b=0), node A sets selector and bridge to working entity and sends NR(r=0, b=0) message.

(10) It is confirmed that the far end is also selecting working entity.

Example 4. 1:1 bidirectional protection switching (non-revertive mode) - Unidirectional SF on working followed by unidirectional SF on protection

(1)	A Z NR(0,0)> < NR(0,0) 	(1)
(2) (4)	(SF on W(Z->A)) SF(1,1)> < NR(1,1) 	(3)
(5)	(Recovery) DNR(1,1)> < DNR(1,1)>	(6)
(8)	(SF on P(A->Z)) < SF-P(0,0) NR(0,0)>	(7)
	(Recovery) < NR(0,0)	(9)

(1) The protection domain is operating without any defect, and the working entity is used for delivering the normal traffic.

(2) Signal Fail occurs on the working entity in the Z to A direction. Selector and bridge of node A select the protection entity. Node A generates SF(r=1, b=1) message.

(3) Upon receiving SF(r=1, b=1), node Z sets selector and bridge to protection entity. As there is no local request in node Z, node Z qenerates NR(r=1, b=1) message.

Zhang, et al. Expires January 13, 2012 [Page 43] (4) Node A confirms that the far end is also selecting protection entity.

(5) Node A detects clearing of SF condition, and sends DNR(r=1, b=1)message.

(6) Upon receiving DNR(r=1, b=1), node Z also generates DNR(r=1, b=1) message.

(7) Signal Fail occurs on the protection entity in the A to Z direction. Selector and bridge of node Z select the working entity. Node Z generates SF-P(r=0, b=0) message.

(8) Upon receiving SF-P(r=0, b=0), node A sets selector and bridge to working entity, and generates NR(r=0, b=0) message.

(9) Node Z detects clearing of SF condition, and sends NR(r=0, b=0)message.

Exmaple 5. 1:1 bidirectional protection switching (non-revertive mode) - Bidirectional SF on working followed by bidirectional SF on protection

A Z
(1)
$$|---- NR(0,0)---->|$$
 (1)
 $|<---- NR(0,0)----|$
(2) $|(SF on W(A<->Z))|$ (2)
(3) $|<---- SF(1,1)--->|$ (3)
(4) $|(Recovery)|$ (4)
(5) $|<--- NR(1,1)--->|$ (5)
 $|<--- DNR(1,1)--->|$ (5)
 $|<--- DNR(1,1)--->|$ (6)
(7) $|<--- SF-P(0,0)--->|$ (7)
(8) $|(Recovery)||$ (8)
 $|<---- NR(0,0)--->|$ (8)

(1) The protection domain is operating without any defect, and the working entity is used for delivering the normal traffic.

(2) Nodes A and Z detect local Signal Fail conditions on the working entity, set selector and bridge to protection entity, and generate SF(r=1, b=1) messages.

(3) Upon receiving SF(r=1, b=1), each node confirms that the far end is also selecting protection entity.

(4) Each node detects clearing of SF condition, and sends NR(r=1, b=1) message as the last received APS message was SF.

(5) Upon receiving NR(r=1, b=1), each node sends DNR(r=1, b=1).

(6) Signal Fail occurs on the protection entity in both directions. Selector and bridge of each node selects the working entity. Each node generates SF-P(r=0, b=0) message.

(7) Upon receiving SF-P(r=0, b=0), each node confirms that the far end is also selecting working entity

(8) Each node detects clearing of SF condition, and sends NR(r=0, b=0) message.

Zhang, et al.Expires January 13, 2012[Page 45]

11. References

- 11.1. Normative References
 - [RFC5317] Bryant, S., Andersson, L., "Joint Working Team (JWT) Report on MPLS Architectural Considerations for a Transport Profile", RFC 5317, February 2009
 - [RFC5586] Bocci, M., Vigoureux, M., and Bryant, S., MPLS Generic Associated Channel", RFC 5586, June 2009
 - [RFC5654] Niven-Jenkins, B., Brungard, D., and Betts, M., "Requirements of an MPLS Transport Profile", RFC 5654, September 2009
 - [BHH MPLS-TP OAM] I. Busi., H. van Helvoort and J. He., "MPLS-TP OAM based on Y.1731", draft-bhh-mpls-tp-oam-y1731-05 (work in progress), July 12, 2010

11.2. Informative References

[MPLS-TP Survive Frmk] Sprecher, N., and Farrel, A., "Multiprotocol Label Switching Transport Profile Survivability Framework", draft-ietf-mpls-tp-survive-fwk-03(work in progress), November 2009

Author's Addresses

Huub van Helvoort (Editor) Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd. Email: hhelvoort@huawei.com

Jeong-dong Ryoo (Editor) ETRI Email: ryoo@etri.re.kr

Haiyan Zhang Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd. Email: zhanghaiyan@huawei.com

Feng Huang Alcatel-Lucent Shanghai Bell Email: feng.f.huang@alcatel-sbell.com.cn

Zhang, et al. Expires January 13, 2012

[Page 46]

Han Li China Mobile Email: lihan@chinamobile.com

Alessandro D'Alessandro Telecom Italia Email: alessandro.dalessandro@telecomitalia.it